Application No.: 10/581,511

Response Dated: October 14, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 15, 2009

Remarks

On page 2 of the Office Action (Paper No. 20090911), the Examiner issued a two-way restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 121 and 372. The restriction divided the claims into the following groups: Group I drawn to the process for producing microcapsules with UV filter activity, containing claims 1-13; and Group II drawn to crosslinkable chromophore with UV filter activity, containing claims 15-25. (Paper No. 20090911 at 2.)

The Examiner also asserted that if Group II is elected, a species election from within Group II is required. (Id. at 3.)

In accordance with restriction practice, the subject matter of claims 1-13, (**Group I**) is hereby elected for prosecution.

It is submitted that all the elections required by the Examiner pertaining to Group I have been made.

The Examiner's early and favorable action in this application is respectfully requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 14, 2009.

Eileen M. Ebel, Reg. No. 37,316

Respectfully submitted,

Eileen M. Ebel

Registration No. 37,316

BRYAN CAVE LLP

1290 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10104-3300

Phone: (212) 541-2000 Fax: (212) 541-4630